arXiv:math/0503004v1 [math.AG] 1 Mar 2005

Vector Fields, Torus Actions and Equivariant Cohomology

Jim Carrell
and
Kiumars Kaveh
and
Volker Puppe

February 1, 2008

Key words: Torus action, cohomology ring, equivariant vector field, equivariant cohomology.
Subject Classification: Primary 14F43; Secondary 57R91.

1 Introduction

An old result of the first author and David Lieberman [CL1, CL2] says that if a compact Kaehler manifold X admits a holomorphic vector field V having at least one zero, then the Dolbeault cohomology algebra $H^*(X,\Omega^*)$ of X is isomorphic with the bigraded algebra associated to a certain filtered graded ring. If V has isolated zeros, this result says that the cohomology algebra $H^*(X,\mathbb{C})$ of X is isomorphic with the graded algebra associated to a certain filtration of the coordinate ring $\mathbb{C}[Z]$ of the scheme Z defined by zero(V). Moreover, if V is generated by a $\mathbb{C}^*$-action, then $H^*(X,\Omega^*)$ is isomorphic with a bigraded ring associated to a certain filtration of $H^*(Z,\Omega^*)$.

In the original proofs of these results in [CL1, CL2], the Deligne Degeneracy Criterion is used to show that the spectral sequence associated to V degenerates at its E_2 term. This shows the existence of a filtration with the desired properties, but doesn't give any insight into how to describe it geometrically. There are a number of results describing the filtration in the isolated case (see Section 5 and also [Car1] for some references), but nothing seems to have been written on the non isolated case, at least from the standpoint of the cohomology algebra. On the other hand, a result of the third author on S^1-actions in the topological setting (see [P2, p.132]) implies that the S^1-equivariant cohomology of X plays an important role if V is generated by a $\mathbb{C}^*$-action and zero(V) is finite but nontrivial. The purpose of this note is to exploit this observation. In fact, we show that if V is generated by a torus action which has a nontrivial but otherwise arbitrary fixed point set, then equivariant Dolbeault cohomology, as recently treated by S. Lillywhite [L] and C. Teleman [Tel], can be used to give direct geometric proofs of the main results of [CL1, CL2], which avoid using Deligne degeneracy and which also shed considerable light on the unexplained filtrations. What is particularly interesting is the picture obtained when zero(V) has positive dimension.

2 Zeros of Holomorphic Vector Fields and Cohomology

The purpose of this section is to recall the spectral sequence associated to a holomorphic vector field [CL1]. Let X denote a connected compact Kaehler manifold of dimension n with sheaf of holomorphic functions $\mathcal{O}_X$ and sheaves Ω^p_X of holomorphic p-forms for $p > 0$. The contraction operator $i_V : \Omega^p_X \to \Omega^{p-1}_X$ defines the Koszul complex

$$0 \to \Omega^n_X \to \Omega^{n-1}_X \to \cdots \to \Omega^1_X \to \mathcal{O}_X \to 0.$$

In addition, for all $\phi, \omega \in \Omega^*_X$,

$$i_V(\phi \wedge \omega) = i_V\phi \wedge \omega + (-1)^p \phi \wedge i_V\omega$$

if $\phi \in \Omega^p_X$. Let $A^{p,q}(X)$ denote the smooth forms on X of type (p,q). The $\overline{\partial}$ operator $A^{p,q} \to A^{p,q+1}$ anti-commutes with i_V, so $(\overline{\partial} - i_V)^2 = 0$. Put

$$K^s_X = \bigoplus_{q-p=s} A^{p,q}, \tag{1}$$

and define $D : K^s_X \to K^{s+1}_X$ to be $\overline{\partial} - i_V$. Then $D^2 = 0$, so we obtain cohomology groups $H^s(K^*_X)$. Moreover, K^*_X is a differential graded algebra under the exterior product, so the cohomology groups form a graded $\mathbb{C}$-algebra $H^*(K^*_X)$. Let $F_\bullet = F_0 \subset F_1 \subset F_2 \subset \cdots \subset F_n$ be the filtration of the double complex $A^{*,*}(X)$, with $F_i = \bigoplus_{r \leq i} A^{r,*}(X)$. Since i_V is a derivation, we obtain filtrations $F_\bullet H^s(K^*_X)$ for all s such that

$$F_i H^s(K^*_X) F_j H^t(K^*_X) \subset F_{i+j} H^{s+t}(K^*_X).$$

Now consider the spectral sequence

$$E_1^{-p,q} = H^q(X, \Omega_X^p) \Rightarrow H^{q-p}(K_X^*). \tag{2}$$

Theorem 2.1 ([CL1, CL2]). *If V has zeros, then every differential in* (2) *is zero. Consequently $E_1 = E_\infty$, and there are $\mathbb{C}$-linear isomorphisms*

$$H^{p+s}\big(X, \Omega_X^p\big) \cong F_p H^s(K_X^*)/F_{p-1}H^s(K_X^*), \tag{3}$$

for every $p \geq 0$ and s which give an isomorphism of bigraded $\mathbb{C}$-algebras

$$\bigoplus_{p,s} H^{p+s}(X, \Omega_X^p) \cong \bigoplus_{p,s} F_p H^s(K_X^*)/F_{p-1}H^s(K_X^*). \tag{4}$$

Now let V be generated by a $T = S^1$-action on X. Then the fixed point set $Z = X^T$ is smooth and closed in X and, as a fixed point scheme, is reduced as well. Moreover, $Z =$zero(V). Hence, we may also consider the analogous complex K_Z^* on Z with differential $D = \overline{\partial}$ since $i_V = 0$ on Z. Thus

$$H^s(K_Z^*) = \bigoplus_{q-p=s} H^q(Z, \Omega_Z^p). \tag{5}$$

A basic fact connecting $H^*(X, \Omega_X^*)$ and $H^*(Z, \Omega_Z^*)$ is contained in the statement that the inclusion $i_Z : Z \to X$ induces a quasi-isomorphism of complexes. That is, i_Z^* is an isomorphism of graded $\mathbb{C}$-algebras

$$\bigoplus_s H^s(K_X^*) \cong \bigoplus_s H^s(K_Z^*). \tag{6}$$

This isomorphism is fundamental. There are several proofs of (6) in the literature, e.g. [CS, Fu, Fe], and we will give a yet another proof in Section 4. It has several interesting consequences, which we now mention. First of all, we can pull back the filtration of $H^s(K_X^*)$ to $H^s(K_Z^*)$ to get the isomorphism of bigraded $\mathbb{C}$-algebras

$$\bigoplus_{p,s} H^{p+s}(X, \Omega_X^p) \cong \bigoplus_{p,s} F_p H^s(K_Z^*)/F_{p-1}H^s(K_Z^*). \tag{7}$$

However, the geometric description of the filtration on $H^s(K_Z^*)$ is far from clear. In fact, finding a direct geometric description of this filtration in terms of the decomposition $\bigoplus_{q-p=s} H^q(Z, \Omega^p)$ is one of the main motivations of this work. We can summarize the above discussion in the following corollary of Theorem 2.1 and (6). First, put $H^q(X, \Omega_X^p) = H^{p,q}(X)$ and $h^{p,q}(X) =$ dim $H^{p,q}(X)$. Also, recall the Hodge-Dolbeault decomposition $H^m(X, \mathbb{C}) = \bigoplus_{p+q=m} H^{p,q}(X)$ of the cohomology of X, and note that if $h^{p,q}(X) = 0$ for $p \neq q$, then $H^*(X, \mathbb{C}) = \bigoplus_p H^{2p}(X, \mathbb{C}) \cong \bigoplus_p H^{p,p}(X)$.

Corollary 2.2. *For every* s,

$$\dim\, H^s(K_X^*) = \sum_{q-p=s} h^{p,q}(X) = \sum_{q-p=s} h^{p,q}(Z) \tag{8}$$

Hence $\dim\, H^s(K_X^*) = 0$ *if* $|s| > \dim Z$ *and* $h^{p,q}(X) = 0$ *if* $|p-q| > \dim(Z)$. *Moreover, the algebra* $H^*(Z, \Omega_Z^*)$ *with the grading of* (5) *admits a filtration such that* $Gr\ H^*(Z, \Omega_Z^*) \cong H^*(X, \Omega_X^*)$ *as bigraded* $\mathbb{C}$*-algebras. Thus, if* $h^{p,q}(X) = 0$ *whenever* $p \neq q$ *(e.g. if* Z *is finite), then* $H^*(Z, \mathbb{C}) = \bigoplus_p H^{2p}(Z, \mathbb{C}) \cong \bigoplus_p H^{p,p}(Z)$*, and there exists a filtration of* $H^*(Z, \mathbb{C})$ *such that*

$$H^*(X, \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathrm{Gr}\ H^*(Z, \mathbb{C}). \tag{9}$$

The last conclusion is mentioned because the condition $h^{p,q}(X) = 0$ whenever $p \neq q$ frequently holds for spaces with a torus action, e.g. flag varieties, toric varieties and, more generally, spherical varieties. See Section 5 for more details.

3 Remarks on Equivariant Cohomology

In this section, we will briefly recall the two basic definitions of equivariant cohomology due to Borel and Cartan, and state a recent result of Lillywhite [L, §5.1] and Teleman [Tel, Theorem 7.3] on equivariant Dolbeault cohomology. Suppose G is a compact topological group acting on a space M. It is well known that there exists a contractible space E_G with a free G-action. The quotient $BG = EG/G$ is called the classifying space of G. Put

$$M_G = (M \times EG)/G.$$

The *equivariant cohomology* of M over $\mathbb{C}$ is defined to be

$$H_G^*(M) = H^*(M_G, \mathbb{C}).$$

If G is a compact torus, say T, then $H_T^*(pt) = H^*(BT)$ is identified with the polynomial ring $S = \mathbb{C}[\mathrm{Lie}(T)]$. Thus, $H_T^*(M)$ is an S-module (via the natural map $\pi : M_T \to BT$), and one has the following fundamental fact:

Theorem 3.1 (Localization Theorem). *Suppose the compact torus* T *acts on a compact manifold* M*. Then the kernel as well as the cokernel of the canonical map*

$$i^* : H_T^*(M) \to H_T^*(M^T),$$

induced by the inclusion $i : M^T \hookrightarrow M$, are torsion modules over S. Thus if $H_T^*(M)$ is a free module over S, then i^* is injective. Moreover, i^* becomes an isomorphism after inverting elements of a finitely generated multiplicative subset of the polynomial algebra S.

If $H_T^*(M)$ is a free S-module, then the action of T on M is said to be *equivariantly formal*. Equivalently, M is equivariantly formal if the spectral sequence of the fibration $M_T \to BT$ collapses.

Remark 3.2. A Hamiltonian T-action on a symplectic manifold is equivariantly formal . In particular, by a result of Frankel [Fr], a $\mathbb{C}^*$-action with fixed points on a compact Kaehler manifold is equivariantly formal for $T = S^1 \subset \mathbb{C}^*$. Moreover, a compact T-space whose (ordinary) cohomology vanishes in odd degrees is also equivariantly formal (cf. [GKM, §14.1]).

Cartan's construction [Cart] of equivariant cohomology for tori assumes M is a smooth manifold, and T acts smoothly on M. Let $\Omega^*(M)$ be the De Rham complex of $\mathbb{C}$-valued forms on M. Define $\Omega_T^*(M)$ to be the complex consisting of of all the polynomial maps $f : \mathrm{Lie}(T) \to (\Omega^*(M))^T$. Here the superscript denotes the T-invariants. (Note: this is the same as defining $\Omega_T^*(M) = (\Omega^*(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} S)^T$). In particular

$$\Omega_T^* := \Omega_T^*(pt) = S^T = S.$$

The grading on $\Omega_T^*(M)$ is defined by $\deg(f) = n + 2p$, if $x \mapsto f(x)$ is of degree p in x and $f(x) \in \Omega^n(M)$. The differential

$$d_T : \Omega_T^*(M) \to \Omega_T^*(M)$$

of this complex is defined by

$$(d_T f)(x) = d(f(x)) - i_{V_x} f(x),$$

where i_{V_x} is the contraction with the vector field V_x on M generated by $x \in \mathrm{Lie}(T)$. Then $d_T \circ d_T = 0$ and d_T increases the degree in $\Omega^*(M)$ by 1.

Theorem 3.3 (Cartan [Cart]). *$H_T^*(M)$ and $H^*(\Omega_T^*(M), d_T)$ are isomorphic graded $\mathbb{C}$-algebras.*

If M is a complex manifold and T acts via holomorphic transformations, a Dolbeault version of T-equivariant cohomology is constructed in a similar way. For $x \in \mathrm{Lie}(T)$, let $V_x = W_x + \overline{W_x}$ be the splitting of the generating vector field of x into holomorphic and anti-holomorphic components. Imitating the Cartan construction, let $A_T^{p,*}(M)$ be the complex of all polynomial maps f from Lie(T) to $(A^{p,*}(M))^T$. (Note again that this is the same as defining ${A_T}^{p,*}(M) = (A^{p,*}(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} S)^T$). Giving bidegree $(1,1)$ to the generators of S defines a bigrading on the algebra $A_T^{*,*}(M) = \bigoplus_{p,q} A_T^{p,q}(M)$. Define the differential $\overline{\partial}_T$ on $A_T^{p,*}(M)$ by

$$(\overline{\partial}_T f)(x) = \overline{\partial}(f(x)) - i_{W_x} f(x).$$

This gives a differential on $A_T^{*,*}(M)$ as well. The q-th cohomology of the complex $(A_T^{p,*}(M), \overline{\partial}_T)$ is called the (p,q)-th *equivariant Dolbeault cohomology* of M. It is denoted by $H_T^{p,q}(M)$. Finally, put

$$H^m_{T,\overline{\partial}}(M) = \bigoplus_{p+q=m} H_T^{p,q}(M).$$

We now state a recent result of Lillywhite and Teleman.

Theorem 3.4 (Equivariant Hodge Decomposition [L, Tel]). *Suppose X is a compact Kaehler manifold with an equivariantly formal T-action by holomorphic transformations. Then $H^*_{T,\overline{\partial}}(X)$ is a free $\mathbb{C}[t]$-module, and there exists an isomorphism*

$$H_T^*(X) \cong H^*_{T,\overline{\partial}}(X)$$

of graded $\mathbb{C}$-algebras.

Finally, we recall the definition of the equivariant Chern classes of a vector bundle. Let E be a complex vector bundle over the Kaehler manifold X, equipped with a linear action of T which lifts the action of T on X. The projection map $p : E \to X$ defines a map from $E_T = E \times_G ET$ to $X_T = X \times_T ET$. This makes E_T a vector bundle over X_T. The r-th equivariant Chern class of E, denoted by $c_r^T(E)$, is defined to be the r-th Chern class of E_T. It is clear that $c_r^T(E) \in H_T^{2r}(X)$. We will need the following fact: suppose X is connected and T acts trivially on it. Let E be a line bundle with a T-action as above. Let the weight of action of T on each fibre of E be ω. Then

$$c_1^T(E) = -\omega + c_1(E). \tag{10}$$

4 The Main Result

As usual, T will be a 1-dimensional compact torus acting on a connected compact Kaehler manifold X of dimension n such that $Z = X^T$ is non empty.

Let $\mathcal{V}$ be the generating vector field of $1 \in \mathbb{C} = \mathrm{Lie}(\mathbb{C}^*)$, and, as before, let K_X^* denote the total complex of the Koszul complex of the vector field $\mathcal{V}$. The purpose of this section is to prove the main results in this paper. In particular, we will obtain a picture of the filtrations $F_\bullet = F_0 \subset F_1 \subset \cdots \subset F_n$ of $H^*(K_X^*)$ and $H^*(K_Z^*)$ defined in Section 2 in terms of the Dolbeault T-equivariant cohomology of X. First define a map $\Phi_X : H^*_{T,\overline{\partial}}(X) \to H^*(K_X^*)$. Let $f : \mathfrak{t} \to (A^{*,*}(X))^T$ be an element of $A_T^{*,*}(X)$. It follows from the definition (1) of K_X^* that if $f \in A_T^{p,q}(X)$, then $f(1) \in K_X^{q-p}$. Therefore, put

$$\tilde{\Phi}(f) = f(1).$$

Proposition 4.1. *$\tilde{\Phi}$ is a cochain map. That is, for $f \in A_T^{*,*}(X)$, we have:*

$$\tilde{\Phi}(\overline{\partial}_T f) = D(\tilde{\Phi}(f)).$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Phi}(\overline{\partial}_T f) &= \tilde{\Phi}(\overline{\partial} f(x) - i_{V_x} f(x)) \\ &= \overline{\partial} f(1) - i_V f(1) \\ &= D(f(1)) \\ &= D(\tilde{\Phi}(f)) \end{aligned}$$

Here V_x (respectively V) is the generating vector field of $x \in \mathfrak{t} = \mathrm{Lie}(T)$ (respectively $1 \in \mathfrak{t}$). □

Let us compute $\dim H^s(K_X^*)$ for all s. Put $\mathcal{H}_T^s(X) = \bigoplus_i H_T^{i,i+s}(X)$. Note that from Theorem 3.4, $H_T^*(X) = \bigoplus_s \mathcal{H}_T^s(X)$. This gives a new grading on $H_T^*(X)$. We denote $H_T^*(X)$ together with this grading by $\mathcal{H}_T^*(X)$. By the above proposition, $\tilde{\Phi}$ induces a map

$$\Phi_{X,s} : \mathcal{H}_T^s(X) \to H^s(K_X^*). \tag{11}$$

It is not hard to check that this is a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra homomorphism. For each $p \geq 0$, we have an edge map $e_{p,s} : F_p H^s(K_X^*) \to H^{p,p+s}(X)$ whose kernel is $F_{p-1} H^s(K_X^*)$. Let π denote the natural map $\pi : H_T^{p,p+s}(X) \to H^{p,p+s}(X)$. Let $f : \mathbb{C} \to \mathrm{Lie}(T), f(t) = \sum_i w_i t^i, w_i \in A^{p-i,p+s-i}(X)$ represent a class in $H_T^{p,p+s}(X)$. It follows from the definitions that $\Phi_{X,s}(f) = \sum_i w_i$ and $\pi(f) = w_0 = e_{p,s}(\sum_i w_i)$. That is, the following diagram is commutative.

$$\begin{tikzcd} H_T^{p,p+s}(X) \arrow[r, "\Phi_{X,s}"] \arrow[d, "\pi"] & F_p H^s(K_X^*) \arrow[dl, "e_{p,s}"] \\ H^{p,p+s}(X) & \end{tikzcd}$$

By equivariant formality, π is surjective. It thus follows that the sequence

$$0 \to F_{p-1}H^s(K_X^*) \to F_pH^s(K_X^*) \to H^{p,p+s}(X) \to 0$$

is exact. Putting $\mathcal{H}^s(X) = \bigoplus_i H^{i,i+s}(X)$, we conclude

$$\dim H^s(K_X^*) = \dim \mathcal{H}^s(X) = \sum_i h^{i,i+s}(X). \tag{12}$$

Note that, like before, $H^*(X) = \bigoplus_s \mathcal{H}^s(X)$ gives a grading on $H^*(X)$. We will denote $H^*(X)$ together with this grading by $\mathcal{H}^*(X)$. For any $\mathbb{C}$-vector space V and $a \in \mathbb{C}$, let $V[a]$ denote the $\mathbb{C}[t]$-module structure on V where t acts via multiplication by a. Since the action of T on X is equivariantly formal, by Remark 3.2, we have an exact sequence of $\mathbb{C}[t]$-modules

$$0 \to \mathbb{C}^+[t]\mathcal{H}_T^s(X) \to \mathcal{H}_T^s(X) \to \mathcal{H}^s(X)[0] \to 0, \tag{13}$$

where $\mathbb{C}^+[t]$ denotes the ideal generated by t [Br, §1]. Hence

$$\mathcal{H}^s(X)[0] \cong \mathcal{H}_T^s(X)/\mathbb{C}^+[t]\mathcal{H}_T^s(X) \cong \mathcal{H}_T^s(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[t]} \mathbb{C}[0],$$

and therefore

$$\dim(\mathcal{H}_T^s(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[t]} \mathbb{C}[0]) = \dim \mathcal{H}^s(X) = \sum_i h^{i,i+s}(X). \tag{14}$$

We now come to our main theorem.

Theorem 4.2. *The following statements hold for any integer s.*

(1) *The $\mathbb{C}[t]$-module map*

$$\hat{\Phi}_{X,s} : \mathcal{H}_T^s(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[t]} \mathbb{C}[1] \to H^s(K_X^*)[1]$$

is a $\mathbb{C}$-linear isomorphism.

(2) *The inclusion mapping $i_Z : Z \to X$ induces an isomorphism $i_Z^* : H^s(K_X^*) \cong H^s(K_Z^*) = \mathcal{H}^s(Z)$.*

(3) *In particular, $\sum_i h^{i,i+s}(X) = \sum_i h^{i,i+s}(Z)$.*

Proof. By the Localization Theorem (Theorem 3.1) and the fact that $\mathcal{H}_T^s(Z) = H^s(K_Z^*)$, the map i_Z^* induces an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{H}_T^s(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[t]} \mathbb{C}[1] \cong \mathcal{H}_T^s(Z) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[t]} \mathbb{C}[1] = H^s(K_Z^*)[1]. \tag{15}$$

This implies that $\dim(\mathcal{H}_T^s(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[t]} \mathbb{C}[1]) = \dim H^s(K_Z^*)$. But for any $a \in \mathbb{C}$, $\dim(\mathcal{H}_T^s(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[t]} \mathbb{C}[a])$ is the rank of $\mathcal{H}_T^s(X)$ as a free $\mathbb{C}[t]$-module. In particular, $\dim(\mathcal{H}_T^s(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[t]} \mathbb{C}[0]) = \dim(\mathcal{H}_T^s(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[t]} \mathbb{C}[1])$. Hence, (14) gives (*3*). Since the map in (15) is $i_Z^*\hat{\Phi}_{X,s}$, it follows that $\hat{\Phi}_{X,s}$ is injective, which proves (*1*). The claim in (*2*) is an obvious consequence. □

Remark 4.3. Part (1) in Theorem 4.2 is analogous to the corollary in [P1, p. 13]. The proof of Theorem 4.2 implies that the subcomplex of the Koszul complex consisting of T-invariant forms is quasi-isomorphic to the Koszul complex itself. One gets an alternative proof of Theorem 4.2 if one first proves this result directly (which is similar to the well known result that invariant forms in the deRham complex determine the deRham cohomology) and then uses the fact that the equivariant Dolbeault evaluated at $t = 1$ is just the invariant Koszul complex. In this context, the evaluation at $t = 1$ is exact, and hence commutes with homology, whereas the evaluation at $t = 0$ is not.

Theorem 4.2 realizes two of the goals of the paper: a simple proof that i_Z^* is a quasi-isomorphism, and a proof of the isomorphism (4) of Theorem 2.1 which doesn't use the Deligne degeneracy criterion. Let us now comment further on the filtration $F_pH^*(K_Z^*)$. Let $\hat{\Phi}_X : \mathcal{H}_T^*(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[t]} \mathbb{C}[1] \to H^*(K_X^*)$ be the morphism obtained by combining the $\hat{\Phi}_{X,s}$. Note that $\hat{\Phi}_X$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra isomorphism, but not a isomorphism of graded algebras. However, $\mathcal{H}_T^*(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[t]} \mathbb{C}[1]$ and $H^*(K_X^*)$ are both canonically filtered, the former being the filtration induced from the grading on $\mathcal{H}_T^*(X)$ and the latter being the filtration introduced earlier. In fact, if $p \geq 0$, put $F_p\mathcal{H}_T^s(X) = \bigoplus_{i \leq p} H_T^{i,i+s}(X)$. If $\Phi_{X,s}$ is the map defined in (11), then, by definition,

$$\Phi_X\big(F_p\mathcal{H}_T^s(X)\big) \subset F_pH^s(K_X^*). \tag{16}$$

Note that Φ_X can be described as the map obtained by composing $\hat{\Phi}_X$ and the natural map from $\mathcal{H}_T^*(X)$ to $\mathcal{H}_T^*(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[t]} \mathbb{C}[1]$ sending α to $\alpha \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[t]} 1$. We can now give a geometric description of the filtration of $H^*(K_X^*)$. Let $\mathcal{R}_X$ denote the algebra $\mathcal{H}_T^*(X)/\mathbb{C}^+[t]\mathcal{H}_T^*(X)$. Since the ideal $\mathbb{C}^+[t]\mathcal{H}_T^*(X)$ is homogeneous with respect to the grading of $\mathcal{H}_T^*(X)$, $\mathcal{R}_X$ inherits a grading from $\mathcal{H}_T^*(X)$.

Theorem 4.4. *The mapping Φ_X is a surjection of filtered rings. That is, for all s*

$$\Phi_{X,s}\big(F_p\mathcal{H}_T^s(X)\big) = F_pH^*(K_X^*),$$

and $\mathcal{R}_X$ is isomorphic to both $\mathcal{H}^*(X)$ and $\mathrm{Gr}H^*(K_X^*)$ as graded algebras.

Proof. This follows from (16) and (1) of Theorem 4.2. ☐

Since the inclusion map $i_Z : Z \to X$ induces a quasi-isomorphism, we immediately obtain a description of the filtration of $H^*(K_Z^*)$ whose associated graded is $\mathcal{H}^*(X)$.

Corollary 4.5. *For each* $p \geq 0$,

$$\Phi_Z \circ i_Z^*\big(\bigoplus_{0\leq i\leq p} H_T^{i,i+s}(X)\big) = F_pH^s(K_Z^*).$$

We will give an example of how to use this result in the next section. Note also that the natural map

$$\Delta_p : H_T^{p,p+s}(X) \to F_pH^s(K_X^*) \to H^p(X, \Omega_X^{p+s})$$

can be described as the p-th derivative map

$$\Delta_p(f) = \frac{1}{p!}f^{(p)}(1).$$

We now use Theorem 4.2 to prove a vanishing theorem which extends part of Corollary 2.2.

Theorem 4.6. *If* $|p-q| > \dim(Z)$, *then* $H_T^{p,q}(X) = 0$.

Proof. Since T acts trivially on Z,

$$H_T^*(Z) = S \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} H^*(Z).$$

Thus

$$H_T^{p,q}(Z) = \bigoplus_{i\leq \min(p,q)} S^i \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} H^{p-i,q-i}(Z).$$

Since $|p-q| = |(p-i)-(q-i)| > \dim Z$, it follows that $H_T^{p,q}(Z) = 0$ as well. From the equivariant Hodge decomposition (Theorem 3.4) $H_T^{p,q}(X) \subset H_T^{p+q}(X)$. The result now follows from the localization theorem (Theorem 3.1) since $i^*\big(H_T^{p,q}(X)\big) \subset H_T^{p,q}(Z) \subset H_T^{p+q}(Z)$. □

5 Examples

Example 5.1 (Toric varieties). Let T denote the n-dimensional torus $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ and let X be a smooth T-toric variety. Let M (respectively N) denote the lattice of characters (respectively 1-parameter subgroups) of T. The real vector spaces generated by these lattices will be denoted by $M_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ respectively. Let $\gamma \in N$ be a 1-parameter subgroup in general position, in the sense that the fixed point set $Z = X^\gamma$ of the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action defined by γ coincides with X^T. Hence $h^{p,q}(X) = 0$ if $p \neq q$, so it follows that $\mathcal{H}^*(X) = H^*(X)$. Now let $F_0 \subset F_1 \subset \cdots$ be the filtration in Corollary 2.2 corresponding to the vector field induced by the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action given by γ. Finally, let Σ be the fan of X in $N_{\mathbb{R}}$. Each ample T-equivariant line bundle L on X gives rise to an integral polytope $\Delta(X, L) \subset M_{\mathbb{R}}$. This polytope is the image of X under the moment map of (X, L). It can be seen that $\Delta(X, L)$ is normal to the fan Σ, i.e. every facet of Δ is orthogonal to a 1-dimensional cone of Σ. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be the semi-group of all rational convex polytopes normal to Σ, where the semi-group operation is the Minkowski sum of polytopes. Let $\mathcal{V}$ denote the complex vector space generated by the semi-group $\mathcal{S}$. For Δ_1 and $\Delta_2 \in \mathcal{S}$, we write $\Delta_1 \sim \Delta_2$ if Δ_1 can be identified with Δ_2 by a translation. This relation $\sim$ extends to the whole $\mathcal{V}$. The assignment $c_1(L) \mapsto \Delta(X, L)$ extends to give an isomorphism $H^2(X, \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathcal{V}/\sim$. Since Z is isolated, we have $H^*(Z, \mathbb{C}) = H^0(Z, \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}^Z$. Thus, every element of $H^0(Z, \mathbb{C})$ can be regarded as a function from Z to $\mathbb{C}$. Let $\Delta \in \mathcal{S}$. To each fixed point $z \in Z = X^T$, there correspond a unique vertex v_z of Δ. Consider the function $f_\Delta : Z \to \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$f_\Delta(z) = \langle \gamma, v_z \rangle.$$

The following result is proved in [K].

Proposition 5.2. *Under the isomorphism in Corollary 2.2, the function* f_Δ *corresponds to* Δ *regarded as an element of* $\mathcal{V}/\sim\, \cong H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$.

For each fixed point $z \in Z$, define a linear function $\tilde{z}$ on $\mathcal{V}$ by

$$\tilde{z}(\Delta) = \langle \gamma, v_z \rangle.$$

Let $\mathcal{Z} = \{\tilde{z} \mid z \in Z\}$ and let $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]$ denote the coordinate ring of $\mathcal{Z}$ as a subset of the vector space $\mathcal{V}^*$. It carries a natural filtration induced by the degree of polynomials. Since $H^*(X, \mathbb{C})$ is generated by $H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$, from Corollary 2.2 and Proposition 5.2 one can see that

$$H^*(X, \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathrm{Gr}\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}].$$

The equivariant cohomology $H_T^*(X, \mathbb{C})$ can be identified with the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ of all continuous functions on $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ whose restriction to each cone of Σ is given by a polynomial (conewise polynomial). Under this identification $H_T^{2i}(X, \mathbb{C})$ corresponds to the subspace $\mathcal{A}_i$ of all continuous functions whose restriction to each cone of maximal dimension is given by a homogeneous degree i polynomial. Each fixed point z corresponds to a cone of maximal dimesnion σ_z in Σ. Let $g \in \mathcal{A}$. Define the function $\tilde{g} : Z \to \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\tilde{g}(z) = g_{|\sigma_z}(\gamma).$$

It is shown in [K] and also follows from Theorem 4.4 that $g \in \mathcal{A}_i$ if and only if $\tilde{g} \in F_i$.

Example 5.3 (The flag variety G/B). Let G be a connected reductive group over $\mathbb{C}$, B a Borel subgroup and $X = G/B$ the flag variety of G. Let T be a maximal torus in B and $\mathfrak{t}$ and $\mathfrak{t}^*$ respectively be the Lie algebra of T and its dual. Also let $S = \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{t}]$ be the algebra of polynomials on $\mathfrak{t}$. The torus T acts on X by multiplication from left, and any 1-parameter subgroup of T induces a $\mathbb{C}^*$-action on X. Let $s \in \mathfrak{t}$ induce a 1-parameter subgroup of T, and suppose $F_\bullet = F_0 \subset F_1 \subset \cdots$ is the filtration in Corollary 2.2 corresponding to this $\mathbb{C}^*$-action. Since $h^{p,q}(X) = 0$ for $p \neq q$, it follows that $\mathcal{H}^*(X) = H^*(X)$. We now consider the two obvious cases.

(a) The element s is regular. That is, the isotropy group W_s is trivial. Then $Z = (G/B)^s = (G/B)^T$ and Z is identified with the Weyl group W of (G,T) by identifying w and wB. Thus $H^*(Z,\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}^Z = \mathbb{C}^W$. We will first describe the map $H^*_T(G/B) \to H^*(Z,\mathbb{C})$ obtained by localizing and setting $t = 1$. Now, $H^*_T(X)$ is isomorphic as a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra to $S \otimes_{S^W} S$ where S^W denotes the subalgebra of W-invariants (see [Br, §2 Examples]). Since $H^*(G/B,\mathbb{C})$ is generated by the Chern classes of line bundles, and such line bundles are always T-equivariant, we need only consider the image of an equivariant Chern class $c_1^T(L_\lambda)$, where L_λ denotes the line bundle corresponding to a weight $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^*$. But it can be shown that $c_1^T(L_\lambda) = -\sum_{w\in W} 1 \otimes (w\cdot\lambda)$, and so $c_1^T(L_\lambda)$ is sent to the element $f_\lambda \in H^*(Z,\mathbb{C})$ defined by the condition

$$f_\lambda(w) = -\langle w\cdot\lambda, s\rangle. \tag{17}$$

This coincides with the representative of $c_1(L_\lambda)$ on $H^0(Z,\mathbb{C})$ calculated for example in [Car2]. The filtration F_i of $H^*(Z,\mathbb{C})$ was originally obtained as follows. Consider the $\mathbb{C}$-algebra morphism $S \to \mathbb{C}^W$ determined by $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^* \to f_\lambda$. Since any function vanishing on $W\cdot s$ is mapped to 0, we get a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra morphism $\mathbb{C}[W\cdot s] \to \mathbb{C}^W$, where $\mathbb{C}[W\cdot s]$ is the ring of polynomials on the finite set $W\cdot s$. This mapping turns out to be an isomorphism sending the canonical filtration of $\mathbb{C}[W\cdot s]$ (defined by the fact that $\mathbb{C}[W\cdot s]$ is a quotient of S) onto the filtration of $\mathbb{C}^W = H^*(Z,\mathbb{C})$. Furthermore, since s is regular, it is not hard to see that Gr $\mathbb{C}[W\cdot s] \cong S/I_W^+$, where I_W^+ denotes the ideal in S generated by the homogeneous elements of S^W. Thus, we have the well known Borel picture of $H^*(G/B,\mathbb{C})$.

(b) The element s is non-regular. Let Φ be the root system of (G,T) and $\Phi_s = \{\alpha \in \Phi \mid \alpha(s) = 0\}$. Put

$$\mathfrak{t}_0 = \bigcap_{\alpha\in\Phi_s} \ker\alpha,$$

and let $T_0 \subset T$ be the corresponding torus. Finally, let L denote the Levi subgroup $L = Z_G(T_0)$. For example, if $G = GL(n, \mathbb{C})$, and T is the diagonal torus, put $s = \operatorname{diag}(a_1 I_{n_1}, a_2 I_{n_2}, \ldots, a_{n_r} I_{n_r})$ where I_l is the $l \times l$ identity matrix, $n_1 + \cdots + n_r = n$ and $a_i \neq a_j$ when $i \neq j$. Then $L = GL(n_1, \mathbb{C}) \times \cdots \times GL(n_r, \mathbb{C})$. Then the Weyl group W_L of L is the isotropy subgroup of s in W. Now $Z = (G/B)^s = (G/B)^{T_0}$ is a union of the flag varieties of L. More precisely, for $w \in W$, let $Z_w =$ connected component of Z containing $wB \in (G/B)^T$. One sees that each Z_w is isomorphic to $L/L \cap B$ and $Z_w = Z_{w'}$, for w, w' in the same right coset of W_L. Thus

$$Z = \bigcup_{w \in W_L \backslash W} Z_w.$$

Hence $H^*(Z, \mathbb{C}) = \bigoplus_{w \in W_L \backslash W} H^*(L/L \cap B)$. To obtain the filtration of $H^*(Z, \mathbb{C})$, take an element $t \in \mathfrak{t}$ which determines a regular 1-parameter subgroup of T. Let $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathfrak{t} \oplus \mathfrak{t}$ be the W-orbit of (s, t), where W acts on $\mathfrak{t} \oplus \mathfrak{t}$ diagonally. One can write

$$\mathcal{Z} = \bigcup_{w \in W_L \backslash W} \mathcal{Z}_w,$$

where $\mathcal{Z}_w = \{(w^{-1} \cdot s, w^{-1} u^{-1} \cdot t) \mid u \in W_L\}$. The elements of $\mathcal{Z}$ are in one-to-one correspondence with $(G/B)^T$, and each $\mathcal{Z}_w$ corresponds to the T-fixed points in Z_w. Let $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]$ (respectively $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}_w]$) denote the coordinate ring of $\mathcal{Z}$ (respectively $\mathcal{Z}_w$). From part (a), $H^*(Z_w) \cong \operatorname{Gr} \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}_w]$ for any $w \in W_L \backslash W$, , where the filtration on $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}_w]$ is induced by the degree. Hence

$$H^*(Z, \mathbb{C}) \cong \bigoplus_{w \in W_L \backslash W} \operatorname{Gr} \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}_w].$$

Put $\mathcal{A} = \bigoplus_{w \in W_L \backslash W} \operatorname{Gr} \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}_w]$. The following shows that the filtration on $\mathcal{A}$ is induced by the natural filtration on $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]$ given by the degree.

Proposition 5.4. *An element $(f_w) \in \mathcal{A}$ lies in F_i if and only if there exists an element $f \in \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]$ such that f has degree $\leq i$ and restriction of f to $\mathcal{Z}_w$ is a representative for f_w in* $\operatorname{Gr} \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}_w]$.

Proof. Since $H^*(G/B, \mathbb{C})$ is generated by $H^2(G/B, \mathbb{C})$, the filtration is generated by F_1, i.e. F_i consists of all polynomials of degree $\leq i$ in the elements of F_1. Hence it is enough to verify the claim for F_1. Consider the line bundle L_λ on G/B corresponding to a dominant weight λ, and let $L_{\lambda,w}$ be the restriction of L_λ to the small flag variety Z_w. Then, for each $w \in W_L \backslash W$, the weight of the action of s on $L_{\lambda,w}$ is $\langle \lambda, w^{-1} \cdot s \rangle$. From (10),

$$c_1^s(L_{\lambda,w}) = -\langle \lambda, w^{-1} \cdot s \rangle + c_1(L_{\lambda,w}), \tag{18}$$

where c_1^s denotes the equivariant Chern class for the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action induced by s. Then, from Theorem 4.4, (17) and (18) it follows that $c_1(L_{\lambda,w})$ corresponds to the element $(f_{\lambda,w})$ represented by the function

$$(w^{-1} \cdot s, w^{-1}u^{-1} \cdot t) \mapsto -\langle \lambda, w^{-1} \cdot s \rangle - \langle \lambda, w^{-1}u^{-1} \cdot t \rangle. \tag{19}$$

Now let f_λ be the linear function on $\mathfrak{t} \oplus \mathfrak{t}$ given by

$$f(x, y) = -\lambda(x) - \lambda(y).$$

From (19), the restriction of f_λ to $\mathcal{Z}_w$ gives a representative for $f_w \in \mathrm{Gr}\ \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}_w]$. Since $H^2(G/B, \mathbb{C})$ is spanned by the $c_1(L_\lambda)$, this proves the Proposition. $\square$

References

[Br] Brion, M. *Equivariant Cohomology and Equivariant Intersection Theory.* Notes by A. Rittatore. NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci., 514, Representation Theories and Algebraic Geometry (Montreal, PQ, 1997), 1–37, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1998. 1982.

[Car1] Carrell, J.B. *Torus Actions and Cohomology* in Algebraic Quotients. Torus Actions and Cohomology. The Adjoint Representation and the Adjoint Action, 83–158, Encyclopaedia Math. Sci., 131, Springer, Berlin, 2002.

[Car2] Carrell, J. B. *Some Remarks on Regular Weyl Group Orbits and the Cohomology of Schubert Varieties.* Kazhdan-Lusztig Theory and Related Topics (Chicago, IL, 1989), 33–41, Contemp. Math., 139, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1992.

[CL1] Carrell, J. B.; Lieberman, D. I. *Holomorphic Vector Fields and Compact Kaehler Manifolds.* Invent. Math 21 (1973), 303–309.

[CL2] Carrell, J. B.; Lieberman, D. I. *Vector Fields and Chern Numbers.* Math. Ann. 225 (1977), no. 3, 263–273.

[CS] Carrell, J. B.; Sommese, A. J. *Some Topological Aspects of C^* Actions on Compact Kaehler Manifolds*. Comment. Math. Helv. 54 (1979), no. 4, 567–582.

[Cart] Cartan, H. *La Transgression dans un Groupe de Lie et dans un Espace Fibré Principal*. Colloque de Topologie (Espaces Fibrés), Bruxelles, 1950, pp. 57–71. Georges Thone, Lige; Masson et Cie., Paris 1951.

[Fe] Feng, H. *Holomorphic Equivariant Cohomology via a Transversal Holomorphic Vector Field*. Internat. J. Math. 14 (2003), no. 5, 499–514.

[Fr] Frankel, T. *Fixed Points and Torsion on Kaehler Manifolds*. Ann. of Math. (2) 70 1959, 1–8.

[Fu] Fujiki, A. *Fixed Points of the Actions on Compact Kaehler Manifolds*. Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 15 (1979), no. 3, 797–826.

[GKM] Goresky, M.; Kottwitz, R.; MacPherson, R. *Equivariant Cohomology, Koszul Duality, and the Localization Theorem*. Invent. Math. 131 (1998), no. 1, 25–83.

[K] Kaveh, K *Vector Fields and the Cohomology Ring of Toric Varieties*. To appear in Canadian Mathematical Bulletin.

[L] Lillywhite, S. *Formality in an Equivariant Setting*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 355 (2003), no. 7, 2771–2793.

[P1] Puppe, V. *On a Conjecture of Bredon*. Manuscripta Math. 12 (1974), 11–16.

[P2] Puppe, V. *Deformations of Algebras and Cohomology of Fixed Point Sets*. Manuscripta Math. 30 (1979/80), no. 2, 119–136.

[Tel] Teleman, C. *The Quantization Conjecture Revisited*. Ann. of Math. (2) 152 (2000), no. 1, 1–43.

James B. Carrell, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, B.C., Canada
Email address: `carrell@math.ubc.ca`
Kiumars Kaveh, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, B.C., Canada
Email address: `kaveh@math.ubc.ca`
Volker Puppe, Universität Konstanz, Fakultät für Mathematik, Fach D202, D-78457 Konstanz, Germany
Email address: `Volker.Puppe@uni-konstanz.de`